

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775620 DATE: <u>6/30/11</u>	ARRIVE: 9:00 AM	DEPART: <u>11:45 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CONCRETE BATCH PLANT-NW	V 30TH AVE FACIL			
FACILITY LOCATION: 13050 NW 30TH AVE				
OPA LOCKA 33054-5	5030			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOS Email: fvega@oceanictransportation.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/8/2010 / 4/8/2015 (effective date) (end date)	SE VEGA PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(305)681-9094 (786)229-7148		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
DADT II. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
		(check ✓ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOSE VEGA? If no, who is?:				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative u 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement)w/ground mtd baghouse,350 Bbls subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 12/1/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No

	c. what caused the problem(s) (if known).		
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-batcher/mixer/screw conveyor w/sray ring subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 12/1/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opac c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precau emissions by:	tions to control unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall inc 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemic 	s? Yes No vals when necessary to
control emissions?	control of the duce airborne
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate particulate matter from stock piles?	te wind entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dro	op point to the truck? Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No Yes No

Emissions Unit Section

3 – CCB Plant-truck loadout with chute/shroud & spray ring subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check only one box for each question)		
Date of last inspection: 12/1/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control undemissions by:	confined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?			
control emissions?			
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainmer particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	k? Yes No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:			
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?			

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			ľ
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No
2	2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	□ No
3	B. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		<u>·</u> ≤ 1.00°	?
4	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	☐ No
_				
<u>G</u>	GENERAL CONDITIONS			
1	. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	- 🗌	Yes	⊠ No
2	2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Ves	☐ No
3	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \): relocatable \(\subseteq \): or consisting of both s	totionary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each of	
1. Is the facility: stationary : relocatable			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	⊠ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I	ocal Air Program by telephone		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	- `	·	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit		mit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purl If YES, what was the purpose?		e)? Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it			
co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:		box for each of	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		U Yes	No No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes No			⊠ No ⊠ No
d. A change in ownership?		- Yes	□ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	on form and the appropriate fee sub	mitted	
30 days prior to the change?		Yes	☐ No
FRANK DELGADO	6/30/11		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	6/2012		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection	
COMMENTS: FRANCIS MORLU CONDUCTED TWO (2) VISIB FROM THE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT. I DID NOT OBSERVE A			

COMMENTS: FRANCIS MORLU CONDUCTED TWO (2) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON TWO EMISSIONS POINTS FROM THE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. ALEX OROZCO, THE FACILITY PRESIDENT ATTENDED ME.